arXiv:1703.06040v1 [cs.DM] 17 Mar 2017

Towards a Topology-Shape-Metrics Framework for Ortho-Radial Drawings

Lukas Barth Benjamin Niedermann Ignaz Rutter Matthias Wolf

March 20, 2017

Abstract

Ortho-Radial drawings are a generalization of orthogonal drawings to grids that are formed by concentric circles and straight-line spokes emanating from the circles' center. Such drawings have applications in schematic graph layouts, e.g., for metro maps and destination maps.

A plane graph is a planar graph with a fixed planar embedding. We give a combinatorial characterization of the plane graphs that admit a planar ortho-radial drawing without bends. Previously, such a characterization was only known for paths, cycles, and theta graphs [12], and in the special case of rectangular drawings for cubic graphs [11], where the contour of each face is required to be a rectangle.

The characterization is expressed in terms of an *ortho-radial representation* that, similar to Tamassia's *orthogonal representations* for orthogonal drawings describes such a drawing combinatorially in terms of angles around vertices and bends on the edges. In this sense our characterization can be seen as a first step towards generalizing the Topology-Shape-Metrics framework of Tamassia to ortho-radial drawings.

1 Introduction

Grid drawings of graphs map vertices to grid points, and edges to internally disjoint curves on the grid lines connecting their endpoints. The appropriate choice of the underlying grid is decisive for the quality and properties of the drawing. *Orthogonal grids*, where the grid lines are horizontal and vertical lines, are popular and widely used in graph drawing. Their strength lies in their simple structure, their high angular resolution, and the limited number of directions. Graphs admitting orthogonal grid drawings must be *4-planar*, i.e., they must be planar and have maximum degree 4. On such grids a single edge consists of a sequence of horizontal and vertical grid segments. A transition between a horizontal and a vertical segment on an edge is called a *bend*. A typical optimization goal is the minimization of the number of bends in the drawing, either in total or by the maximum number of bends per edge.

The popularity and usefulness of orthogonal drawing have their foundations in the seminal work of Tamassia [16] who showed that for *plane graphs*, i.e., planar graphs with a fixed embedding, a bend-minimal planar orthogonal drawing can be computed efficiently. More generally, Tamassia [16] established the so-called Topology-Shape-Metrics framework, abbreviated as TSM in the following, for computing orthogonal drawings of 4-planar graphs.

The goal of this work is to provide a similar framework for *ortho-radial drawings*, which are based on ortho-radial grids rather than orthogonal grids. Such drawings have applications in schematic graph layouts, e.g., for metro maps and destination maps; see Fig. 2 for an example.



(a) Ortho-Radial Grid. (b) Cylinder.

Figure 1: An ortho-radial drawing of a graph on a grid (a) and its equivalent interpretation as an orthogonal drawing on a cylinder (b).

An *ortho-radial grid* is formed by M concentric circles and N spokes, where $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely, the radii of the concentric circles are integers, and the N spokes emanate from the center $c = (0, 0)$ of the circles such that they have uniform angular resolution; see Fig. 1. We note that c does not belong to the grid. Again vertices are positioned at grid points and edges are drawn as internally disjoint chains of (1) segments of spokes and (2) arcs of concentric circles. As before, a *bend* is a transition between a spoke segment and an arc segment. We observe that ortho-radial drawings can also be thought of as orthogonal drawings on a cylinder; see Fig. 1. Edge segments that are originally drawn on spokes are parallel to the axis of the cylinder, whereas segments that are originally drawn as arcs are drawn on circles orthogonal to the axis of the cylinder.

It is not hard to see that every orthogonal drawing can be transformed into an ortho-radial drawing with the same number of bends. The converse is, however, not true. It is readily seen that for example a triangle, which requires at least one bend in an orthogonal drawing, admits an ortho-radial drawing without bends, namely in the form of a circle centered at the origin. In fact, by suitably nesting triangles, one can construct graphs that have an ortho-radial drawing without bends but require a linear number of bends in any orthogonal drawing. Similarly, the octahedron, which requires an edge with three bends in a planar orthogonal drawing has an ortho-radial drawing with two bends per edge; see Fig. 3.

Related Work. The case of planar orthogonal drawings is well-researched and there is a plethora of results known; see [9] for a survey. Here, we mention only the most recent results and those which are most strongly related to the problem we consider. A k-embedding is an orthogonal planar drawing such that each edge has at most k bends. It is known that, with the single exception of the octahedron graph, every planar graph has a 2-embedding and that deciding the existence of a 0-embedding is $\mathcal{NP}$-complete [10]. The latter in particular implies that the problem of computing a planar orthogonal drawing with the minimum number of bends is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard. In contrast, the existence of a 1-embedding can be tested efficiently [2]; this has subsequently been generalized to a version that forces up to k edges to have no bends in FPT time w.r.t k [3], and to an optimization version that optimizes the number of bends beyond the first one on each edge [4]. Moreover, for series-parallel graphs and graphs with maximum degree 3



Figure 2: Metro map of Berlin using an ortho-radial layout. Image copyright by Maxwell J. Roberts.

an orthogonal planar drawing with the minimum number of bends can be computed efficiently [8].

The $\mathcal{NP}$-hardness of the bend minimization problem has also inspired the study of bend minimization for planar graphs with a fixed embedding. In his fundamental work Tamassia [16] showed that for plane graphs, i.e., planar graphs with a fixed planar embedding, bend-minimal planar orthogonal drawing can be computed in polynomial time. The running time has subsequently been improved to $O(n^{1.5})$ [5]. Key to this result is the existence of a combinatorial description of planar orthogonal drawings of a plane graph in terms of the angle surrounding each vertex and the order and directions of bends on the edges but neglecting any kind of geometric information such as coordinates and edge lengths. In particular, this allows to efficiently compute bend-minimal orthogonal drawings of plane graphs by mapping the purely combinatorial problem of determining an orthogonal representation with the minimum number of bends to a flow problem.



Figure 3: Bend-minimal drawings of an octahedron graph. In the ortho-radial drawing, every edge has at most two bends, while in the orthogonal drawing, one edge requires three bends. Also, the ortho-radial drawing requires just eight bends, while the orthogonal drawing requires twelve bends in total.

In addition, there is a number of works that seek to characterize the plane graphs that can be drawn without bends. In this case an orthogonal representation essentially only describes the angles around the vertices. Rahman, Nishizeki and Naznin [15] characterize the plane graphs with maximum degree 3 that admit such a drawing and Rahman, Nakano and Nishizeki [14] characterize the plane graphs that admit a rectangular drawing where in addition the contour of each face is a rectangle.



Figure 4: In this drawing, the angles around vertices sum up to 360°, and also the sum of angles for each face is as expected for an ortho-radial drawing. However, the graph does not have an ortho-radial drawing without bends.

In the case of ortho-radial drawings much less is known. A natural generalization of the properties of an orthogonal representation to the ortho-radial case, where the angles around the vertices and inside the faces are constrained, has turned out not be sufficient for drawability; see Fig. 4. So far characterizations of bend-free ortho-radial drawing have only been achieved for paths, cycles, and theta graphs [12]. For the special case of rectangular ortho-radial drawings, i.e., every internal face is bounded by a rectangle, a characterization is known for cubic graphs [11].

Contribution and Outline. Since deciding whether a 4-planar graph can be orthogonally drawn in the plane without any bends is $\mathcal{NP}$-complete [10], it is not surprising that also ortho-radial bend minimization is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard; see Section 7.

As our main result we introduce a generalization of an orthogonal representation, which we call *ortho-radial representation*, that characterizes the 4-plane graphs having bend-free ortho-radial drawings; see Theorem 5.

This significantly generalizes the corresponding results for paths, cycles, theta graphs [12], and cubic graphs [11]. Further, this characterization can be seen as a step towards an extension of the TSM framework for computing ortho-radial drawings that may have bends. Namely, once the angles around vertices and the order and directions of bends along each edge of a graph G have been fixed, we can replace each bend by a vertex to obtain a graph G'. The directions of bends and the angles at the vertices of G define a unique ortho-radial representation of G', which is valid if and only if G admits a drawing with the specified angles and bends. Thus, ortho-radial drawings can indeed be described by angles around vertices and orders and directions of bends on edges. Our main result therefore implies that ortho-radial drawings can be computed by a TSM framework, i.e., by fixing a combinatorial embedding in a first "Topology" step, determining a description of the drawing in terms of angles and bends in a second "Shape" step, and computing edge lengths and vertex coordinates in a final "Metrics" step.

In the following, we disregard the "Topology" step and assume that our input consists of a 4-planar graph with a fixed *combinatorial embedding*, i.e., the order of the incident edges around each vertex is fixed and additionally, one outer face and one central face are specified; the latter shall contain the center of the drawing. We present our definition of an ortho-radial representation in Section 3. After introducing some basic tools based on this representation in Section 4, we first present in Section 5 a characterization for rectangular graphs, whose ortho-radial representation is such that internal faces have exactly four 90° angles, while all other incident angles are 180°; i.e., they have to be drawn as rectangles.

The algorithm we use as a proof of drawability corresponds to the "Metrics" step of an ortho-radial TSM framework. The characterization corresponds to the output of the "Shape" step. Based on that special case of 4-planar graphs, we then present the characterization and the "Metrics" step for general 4-planar graphs in Section 6.

2 Ortho-Radial Drawings

In this section we introduce basic definitions and conventions on ortho-radial drawings that we use throughout this paper. To that end, we first introduce some basic definitions.

We assume that paths cannot contain vertices multiple times but cycles can, i.e. all paths are simple. We always consider cycles that are directed clockwise, so that their interior is locally to the right of the cycle. A cycle is part of its interior and its exterior.

For a path P and vertices u and v on P, we denote the subpath of P from u to v (including these vertices) by $P[u, v]$. We may also specify the first and last edge instead and write, e.g., $P[e, e']$ for edges e and e' on P. We denote the concatenation of two paths P and Q by $P + Q$. For any path $P = v_1 \dots v_k$, we define its reverse $\overline{P} = v_k \dots v_1$. For a cycle C that contains any edge at most once (e.g. if C is simple), we extend the notion of subpaths as follows: For two edges e and e' on C, the subpath $C[e, e']$ is the unique path on C that starts with e and ends with e'. If the start vertex v of e identifies e uniquely, i.e., C contains v exactly once, we may write $C[v, e']$ to describe the path on C from v to e'. Analogously, we may identify e' with its endpoint if this is unambiguous.

We are now ready to introduce concepts of ortho-radial drawings. We are given a 4-planar graph $G = (V, E)$ with fixed embedding. We refer to the directed edge from u to v by uv. Consider an ortho-radial drawing Δ of G. Recall that we allow no bends on edges. In Δ a directed edge e is either drawn clockwise, counter-clockwise, towards the center or away from the center; see Fig. 1. Using the metaphor of drawing G on a cylinder, we say that e points *right*, *left*, *down* or *up*, respectively. Edges pointing left or right are *horizontal* edges and edges pointing up or down are *vertical* edges.

There are two fundamentally different ways of drawing a simple cycle C: The center of the grid may lie in the interior or the exterior of C. In the former case C is *essential* and in the latter case it is *non-essential*. In Fig. 1 the red cycle is essential and the blue cycle is non-essential.

We further observe that Δ has two special faces: One unbounded face, called the *outer face*, and the *central face* containing the center of the drawing. These two faces are equal if and only if Δ contains no essential cycles. All other faces of G are called *regular*. Ortho-radial drawings without essential cycles are equivalent to orthogonal drawings [12]. That is, any such ortho-radial drawing can be transformed to an orthogonal drawing of the same graph with the same outer face and vice versa.

We represent a face as a cycle f in which the interior of the face lies locally to the right of f. Note that f may not be simple since cut vertices may appear multiple times on f. But no directed edge is used twice by f. Therefore, the notation of subpaths of cycles applies to faces. Note furthermore that the cycle bounding the outer face of a graph is directed counter-clockwise, whereas all other faces are bounded by cycles directed clockwise.

A face f in Δ is a *rectangle* if and only if its boundary does not make any left turns. That is, if f is a regular face, there are exactly 4 right turns, and if f is the central or the outer face, there are no turns at all. Note that by this definition f cannot be a rectangle if it is both the outer and the central face.

3 Ortho-Radial Representations

In this section, we define ortho-radial representations. These are a tool to describe the ortho-radial drawing of a graph without fixing any edge lengths. As mentioned in the introduction, they are an analogon to orthogonal representations in the TSM framework.

We observe all directions of all edges being fixed once all angles around vertices are fixed.



Figure 5: The situation of Observation 3. If one makes a detour via xy (without accounting for the 360°-turn at y), the rotation changes by -2, if xy is to the left of P, or $+2$, if xy lies to the right.



Figure 6: The labeling of e induced by P is $\ell_C^P(e) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P + C[v,e])$.

For two edges uv and vw that enclose the angle $\alpha \in \{90°, 180°, 270°, 360°\}$ at v (such that the angle measured lies locally to the right of uvw), we define the rotation $\mathrm{rot}(uvw) = 2 - \alpha/90°$. We note that the rotation is 1 if there is a right turn at v, 0 if uvw is straight, and -1 if a left turn occurs at v. If $u = w$, it is $\mathrm{rot}(uvw) = -2$.

We define the rotation of a path $P = v_1 \dots v_k$ as the sum of the rotations at its internal vertices, i.e., $\mathrm{rot}(P) = \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \mathrm{rot}(v_{i-1}v_iv_{i+1})$. Similarly, for a cycle $C = v_1 \dots v_k v_1$, its rotation is the sum of the rotations at all its vertices (where we define $v_0 = v_k$), i.e., $\mathrm{rot}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{rot}(v_{i-1}v_iv_{i+1})$.

When splitting a path at an edge, the sum of the rotations of the two parts is equal to the rotation of the whole path.

Observation 1. Let P be a simple path with start vertex s and end vertex t. For all edges e on P it holds that $\mathrm{rot}(P) = \mathrm{rot}(P[s,e]) + \mathrm{rot}(P[e,t])$.

Furthermore, reversing a path changes all left turns to right turns and vice versa. Hence, the sign of the rotation is flipped.

Observation 2. For any path P it holds $\mathrm{rot}(\overline{P}) = -\mathrm{rot}(P)$.

The next observation analyzes *detours*; see also Figure 5.

Observation 3. Let P be a path from v to w and xy an edge not on P such that x is an internal vertex of P. If xy lies locally to the left of P, $\mathrm{rot}\left(P[v,x] + xy\right) + \mathrm{rot}\left(yx + P[x,w]\right) = \mathrm{rot}\left(P\right) - 2$. Further, if xy lies locally to the right of P, $\mathrm{rot}\left(P[v,x] + xy\right) + \mathrm{rot}\left(yx + P[x,w]\right) = \mathrm{rot}\left(P\right) + 2$.

An *ortho-radial representation* of a 4-planar graph G consists of a list $H(f)$ of pairs (e,a) for each face f, where e is an edge on f, and $a \in \{90, 180, 270, 360\}$. Further, the outer face and the central face are fixed and one *reference edge* $e^\star$ in the outer face is given, with $e^\star$ oriented such that the outer face is locally to its left. By convention the reference edge is always drawn such that it points right. We interpret the fields of a pair in $H(f)$ as follows: e denotes the edge on f directed such that f lies to the right of e. The field a represents the angle inside f from e to the following edge in degrees. Using this information we define the *rotation* of such a pair $t = (e,a)$ as $\mathrm{rot}(t) = (180 - a)/90$.

Not every ortho-radial representation also yields a valid ortho-radial drawing of a graph. In order to characterize valid ortho-radial representations we introduce *labelings* of essential cycles. These labelings prove to be a valuable tool to ensure that the drawings of all cycles of the graph are compatible with each other.

Let G be an embedded 4-planar graph and let $e^\star = rs$ be a reference edge of G. Further, let C be a simple, essential cycle in G, and let P be a path from s to a vertex v on C. The *labeling* ℓ_C^P of C induced by P is defined for each edge e on C by $\ell_C^P(e) = \text{rot}(e^\star + P + C[v, e])$; see Fig. 6 for an illustration. We are mostly interested in labelings that are induced by paths starting at s and intersecting C only at their endpoints. We call such paths *elementary paths*.

We now introduce properties characterizing bend-free ortho-radial drawings, as we prove in Theorem 5.

Definition 4. An ortho-radial representation is *valid*, if the following conditions hold:

1. The angle sum of all edges around each vertex given by the a-fields is 360.
2. For each face f, it is
$$\text{rot}(f) = \begin{cases} 4, & f \text{ is a regular face} \\ 0, & f \text{ is the outer or the central face but not both} \\ -4, & f \text{ is both the outer and the central face} \end{cases}$$
3. For each simple, essential cycle C in G, there is a labeling ℓ_C^P of C induced by an elementary path P such that either $\ell_C^P(e) = 0$ for all edges e of C, or there are edges e_+ and e_- on C such that $\ell_C^P(e_+) > 0$ and $\ell_C^P(e_-) < 0$.

The first two conditions ensure that the angle-sums at vertices and inside the faces are correct. Since the labels of neighboring edges differ by at most 1 the last condition ensures that on each essential cycle with not only horizontal edges there are edges with labels 1 and -1, i.e., edges pointing up and down. This reflects the characterization of cycles [12]. Basing all labels on the reference edge guarantees that all cycles in the graph can be drawn together consistently.

For an essential cycle C not satisfying the last condition there are two possibilities: Either all labels of edges on C are non-negative and at least one label is positive, or all are non-positive and at least one is negative. In the former case C is called *decreasing* and in the latter case it is *increasing*. We call both *monotone* cycles. Cycles with only the label 0 are not monotone.

We show that a graph with a given ortho-radial representation can be drawn if and only if the representation is valid, which yields our main result:

Theorem 5. *A 4-plane graph admits a bend-free orthogonal drawing if and only if it admits a valid ortho-radial representation.*

In the next section, we introduce further tools based on labelings. Subsequently, in Section 5 we prove Theorem 5 for rectangular graphs. In Section 6, we generalize the result to 4-planar graphs.

4 Properties of Labelings

In this section we study the properties of labelings in more detail to derive useful tools for proving Theorem 5. Throughout this section, G is a 4-planar graph with ortho-radial representation Γ that satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4. Further let $e^\star$ be a reference edge. From Condition 2 we obtain that the rotation of all essential cycles is 0:

Observation 6. For any simple essential cycle C of G it is $\text{rot}(C) = 0$.



(a) The edge xy does not lie on $C[p,w]$ and P' contains no duplicate edges.



(b) The edge xy lies on $C[p,w]$ and P' completely goes around C. In both cases it is $\ell_C^P(xy) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P')$.

Figure 7: Illustration of Lemma 8.

An ortho-radial representation fixes the direction of all edges: For the direction of an edge e, we consider a path P from the reference edge to e including both edges. Different such paths may have different rotations but we observe that these rotations differ by a multiple of 4. An edge e is directed right, down, left, or up, if $\mathrm{rot}(P)$ is congruent to 0, 1, 2, or 3 modulo 4, respectively. If e lies on an essential cycle C, we consider the label $\ell_C^Q(e)$ induced by any path Q instead of a path P, as the labels are defined as rotations of such paths. Note that by this definition the reference edge always points to the right.
Because the rotation of essential cycles is 0 by Observation 6, for two edges on an essential cycle we observe:

Observation 7. For any path P and for any two edges e and e' on a simple, essential cycle C, it holds that $\mathrm{rot}(C[e,e']) = \ell_C^P(e') - \ell_C^P(e)$

We now show that two elementary paths to the same essential cycle C induce identical labelings of C. Two paths P and Q from $e^\star$ to vertices on C are *equivalent* ($P \equiv_C Q$) if the corresponding labelings agree on all edges of C, i.e., $\ell_C^P(e) = \ell_C^Q(e)$ for every edge e of C.

First, we prove that two paths are equivalent if the labels for just one edge are equal.

Lemma 8. *Let C be a simple, essential cycle and P and Q two paths from the endpoint of $e^\star$ to vertices on C. If there is an edge e on C with $\ell_C^P(e) = \ell_C^Q(e)$, then $P \equiv_C Q$.*

Proof. Denote the endpoints of P and Q on C by p and q, respectively. Let $e = vw$ be an edge on C such that $\ell_C^P(vw) = \ell_C^Q(vw)$, which implies by the definition of the labeling

$$\mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P + C[p,vw]) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q + C[q,vw]). \tag{1}$$

For any edge $e' = xy$ on C, we obtain $P' = P + C[p,vw] + C[w,y]$ and $Q' = Q + C[q,vw] + C[w,y]$ by adding $C[w,y]$ to the end of the two paths to e. Equation 1 then implies

$$\mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P') = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q'). \tag{2}$$

If $w \in C[p,y]$ (as illustrated in Fig. 7a), it is $C[p,y] = C[p,vw] + C[w,y]$ and therefore $\ell_C^P(e') = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P')$. Otherwise, P' contains C completely and $\mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P') = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + C[p,y]) + \mathrm{rot}(C) = \ell_C^P(e')$, since C is essential (i.e., $\mathrm{rot}(C) = 0$). This case is shown in Fig. 7b. Analogously, we obtain $\ell_C^Q(e') = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q')$. Together with Equation 2 we obtain $\ell_C^P(e') = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P') = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q') = \ell_C^Q(e')$, which implies $P \equiv_C Q$. □



Figure 8: The paths P and Q use different sides of the cycle C. The edges e and e' are chosen arbitrarily and cut P and Q in two parts.

For a further useful property of labelings, we consider two paths which traverse the same cycle C. Take a cycle C and cut it open at two vertices into two parts C' and C'' and consider a path traversing C from cut-point to cut-point; see Fig. 8. The path either completely includes C' or C''; in the former case, we call the path P, in the latter case Q. We now show that both paths have the same rotation under certain conditions.

Lemma 9. *Let C be a simple cycle in G. Consider two vertices w and x on C and edges $vw \notin E(C)$ and $xy \notin E(C)$. Let $P = vw + C[w,x] + xy$ and $Q = vw + \overline{C}[w,x] + xy$.*

1. *If C is a non-essential cycle and vw and xy lie in the exterior of C, or*
2. *if C is an essential cycle and vw lies in the exterior of C and xy in the interior, then it is* $\mathrm{rot}(P) = \mathrm{rot}(Q)$.

Proof. To prove the equality, we show in the following that $\mathrm{rot}(P) - \mathrm{rot}(Q) = 0$. We first cut the paths in two parts each and analyze them separately. To this end we choose arbitrary edges e on $P[w,x]$ and e' on $Q[w,x]$ as shown in Fig. 8. By Observation 1, it is

$$\mathrm{rot}(P) - \mathrm{rot}(Q) = \mathrm{rot}(P[v,e]) - \mathrm{rot}(Q[v,e']) + \mathrm{rot}(P[e,y]) - \mathrm{rot}(Q[e',y]). \tag{3}$$

By definition it is $P[v,e] = vw + C[w,e]$ and $Q[v,e'] = vw + \overline{C}[w,e']$. Therefore, we can calculate that difference of the rotations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rot}(P[v,e]) - \mathrm{rot}(Q[v,e']) &= \mathrm{rot}(P[v,e]) + \mathrm{rot}(\overline{Q}[v,e']) \\ &= \mathrm{rot}(vw + C[w,e]) + \mathrm{rot}(C[\overline{e'},w] + wv) \\ &= \mathrm{rot}(C[\overline{e'},e]) - 2 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The last equality follows from Observation 3, since vw lies in the exterior of C and therefore locally to the left of $C[\overline{e'},e]$. In a similar spirit one obtains an equation for the second part:

$$\mathrm{rot}(P[e,y]) - \mathrm{rot}(Q[e',y]) = \mathrm{rot}(C[e,\overline{e'}]) + c \tag{5}$$

Here, c represents a constant, which depends on whether xy lies in the exterior of C (Case 1; $c = -2$) or in the interior (Case 2; $c = 2$).

Substituting Equations 4 and 5 in Equation 3, we get

$$\mathrm{rot}(P) - \mathrm{rot}(Q) = \mathrm{rot}(C[\overline{e'},e]) + \mathrm{rot}(C[e,\overline{e'}]) - 2 + c. \tag{6}$$

Note that the paths in the equation above together form the cycle C. Hence, it is $\mathrm{rot}(C[\overline{e'},e]) + \mathrm{rot}(C[e,\overline{e'}]) = \mathrm{rot}(C)$ and the equation simplifies to

$$\mathrm{rot}(P) - \mathrm{rot}(Q) = \mathrm{rot}(C) - 2 + c. \tag{7}$$

In Case 1 the cycle C is non-essential and therefore it is $\mathrm{rot}(C) = 4$. Moreover, we have $c = -2$. Plugging these values in Equation 7, we get $\mathrm{rot}(P) - \mathrm{rot}(Q) = 0$.

Similarly, in Case 2 it is $c = 2$ and $\mathrm{rot}(C) = 0$, since C is essential. Therefore, Equation 7 again gives the desired result. □



Figure 9: Two paths P and Q from s to t, which lie between the essential cycles C_1 and C_2. Here, the cycle C' formed by $P[w,x]$ and $\overline{Q}[x,w]$ is non-essential.

Applying this result repeatedly to a pair of paths, we show that the labels of an edge e on an essential cycle C induced by two elementary paths P and Q are the same, i.e., $\ell_C^P(e) = \ell_C^Q(e)$. We even prove a slightly more general result, where the paths do not need to start at the reference edge but stay between two essential cycles C_1 and C_2; see Fig. 9.

Lemma 10. *Let C_1 and C_2 be two disjunct essential cycles such that C_2 lies in the interior of C_1. Fix an edge rs on C_1 and an edge $e = tu$ on C_2. Let P and Q be two paths starting at s and ending at t such that both paths lie in the interior of C_1 and in the exterior of C_2. Then* $\mathrm{rot}(rs + P + tu) = \mathrm{rot}(rs + Q + tu)$.

Proof. Let k be the number of directed edges on P that do not lie on Q. We prove the equivalence of P and Q by induction on k. If $k = 0$, Q contains P completely. Since both paths have the same start and end points, P and Q are equal. Hence, the claim follows immediately.

If $k > 0$, there is a first edge vw on P such that the following edge does not lie on Q. Let x be the first vertex on P after w that lies on Q and let y be the vertex on $Q + tu$ that follows x immediately. This situation is illustrated in Fig. 9. As both P and Q end at t, these vertices always exist. Consider the cycle $C' = P[w,x] + \overline{Q}[x,w]$. We may assume without loss of generality that the edges of C' are directed such that the outer face lies in the exterior of C' (otherwise we may reverse the edges and work with $\overline{C'}$ instead). Note that $Q[x,t]$ cannot intersect C' (except for x), since the interior of $P[w,x]$ does not intersect Q by the definition of x. Therefore, xy lies on the same side of C' as C_2.

If C' is non-essential, it does not contain the central face, and hence, C' cannot contain C_2 in its interior. Thus, both vw and xy lie in the exterior of C'. By Lemma 9, we have $\mathrm{rot}(vw + P[w,x] + xy) = \mathrm{rot}(vw + Q[w,x] + xy)$.

If C' is essential, C_2 lies in the interior of C'. Hence, vw lies in the exterior of C' and xy in its interior or boundary. Lemma 9 states that $\mathrm{rot}(vw + P[w,x] + xy) = \mathrm{rot}(vw + Q[w,x] + xy)$.

In both cases it follows from $P[s,w] = Q[s,w]$ that $\mathrm{rot}(rs + P[s,x] + xy) = \mathrm{rot}(rs + Q[s,x] + xy)$. For $Q' = P[s,x] + Q[x,t]$ it therefore holds that $\mathrm{rot}(rs + Q + tu) = \mathrm{rot}(rs + Q' + tu)$. As Q' includes the part of P between w and x it misses fewer edges from P than Q does. Hence, the induction hypothesis implies $\mathrm{rot}(rs + P + tu) = \mathrm{rot}(rs + Q' + tu)$, and thus $\mathrm{rot}(rs + P + tu) = \mathrm{rot}(rs + Q + tu)$. □

Combining Lemma 10 and Lemma 8 we show that labelings induced by elementary paths are equal.

Lemma 11. *Let C be an essential cycle in G and let $e^\star = rs$. If P and Q are paths from s to vertices on C such that P and Q lie in the exterior of C, they are equivalent.*



Figure 10: (a) Two cycles C_1 and C_2 may have both common edges with different labels ($\ell_{C_1}(e) = 4 \neq 0 = \ell_{C_2}(e)$) and ones with equal labels ($\ell_{C_1}(e') = \ell_{C_2}(e') = 0$). (b) All labels of $C_1[v, w]$ are positive, implying that C_1 goes down. Note that not all edges of $C_1[v, w]$ point downwards.

Proof. First assume that one of the paths, say Q, is elementary. Let p and q be the endpoints of P and Q, respectively. Let v be the vertex following p on C when C is directed such that the central face lies in its interior. We define $Q' = Q + C[q, p]$. It is easy to verify that both P and Q' are paths and lie in the exterior of C. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 10 that

$$\ell_C^P(pv) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P + pv) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q' + pv) = \ell_C^Q(pv).$$

Thus, P and Q are equivalent by Lemma 8.

If neither P nor Q are elementary, choose any elementary path R to a vertex on C. The argument above shows that $P \equiv_C R$ and $R \equiv_C Q$, and thus $P \equiv_C Q$. □

In the remainder of this section all labelings are induced by elementary paths. By Lemma 11, the labelings are independent of the choice of the elementary path. Hence, we drop the superscript P and write $\ell_C(e)$ for the labeling of an edge e on an essential cycle C.

If an edge e lies on two simple, essential cycles C_1 and C_2, the labels $\ell_{C_1}(e)$ and $\ell_{C_2}(e)$ may not be equal in general. In Fig. 10a the labels of the edge e are different for C_1 and C_2 respectively, but e' has label 0 on both cycles. Note that e' is incident to the central face of $C_1 + C_2$. We now show that this is a sufficient condition for the equality of the labels.

Lemma 12. *Let C_1 and C_2 be two essential cycles and let $H = C_1 + C_2$ be the subgraph of G formed by these two cycles. Let v be a common vertex of C_1 and C_2 on the central face of H and consider the edge vw on C_1. Denote the vertices before v on C_1 and C_2 by u_1 and u_2, respectively. Then $\ell_{C_1}(u_1v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_1vw) = \ell_{C_2}(u_2v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_2vw)$.*

Further, if vw belongs to both C_1 and C_2, the labels of e are equal, i.e., $\ell_{C_1}(vw) = \ell_{C_2}(vw)$.

Proof. First assume that not only v but the whole edge vw lies on the central face of H. Let C be the cycle bounding the central face of $C_1 + C_2$. Let P and Q be elementary paths from the endpoint s of the reference edge to vertices t_1 and t_2 on C_1 and C_2, respectively. Define $P' = P + C_1[t_1, v]$ and $Q' = Q + C_2[t_2, v]$. The paths P' and Q' lie in the exterior of C. Thus, Lemma 10 can be applied to P' and Q':

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P') + \mathrm{rot}(u_1vw) &= \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P' + vw)\\ &= \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q' + vw) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q') + \mathrm{rot}(u_2vw).\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of labelings it is $\ell_{C_1}(u_1v) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + P')$ and $\ell_{C_2}(u_2v) = \mathrm{rot}(e^\star + Q')$. Substitution into the previous equation give the desired result:

$$\ell_{C_1}(u_1v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_1vw) = \ell_{C_2}(u_2v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_2vw).$$

If vw does not lie on the central face, then the edge vw_2 on C_2 does lie on the central face, where w_2 denotes the vertex on C_2 after v. By the argument above we have

$$\ell_{C_1}(u_1v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_1vw_2) = \ell_{C_2}(u_2v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_2vw_2).$$

Since vw lies locally to the left of both u_1vw_2 and u_2vw_2, it is $\mathrm{rot}(u_ivw) = \mathrm{rot}(u_ivw_2) - \alpha$ for $i = 1, 2$ and the same constant α, which is either 1 or 2. Hence, we get

$$\ell_{C_1}(u_1v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_1vw) + \alpha = \ell_{C_2}(u_2v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_2vw) + \alpha.$$

Canceling α on both sides gives the desired result.

If vw lies on both C_1 and C_2, Observation 7 shows $\ell_{C_1}(u_1v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_1vw) = \ell_{C_1}(vw)$ and $\ell_{C_2}(u_2v) + \mathrm{rot}(u_2vw) = \ell_{C_2}(vw)$. Hence, the result above can be simplified to $\ell_{C_1}(vw) = \ell_{C_2}(vw)$. □

Intuitively, positive labels can often be interpreted as going downwards and negative labels as going upwards. In Fig. 10b all edges of $C_1[v, w]$ have positive labels and in total the y-coordinate decreases along this path, i.e., the y-coordinate of v is greater than the y-coordinate of w.

Using this intuition, we expect that a path P going down cannot intersect a path Q going up such that P starts below Q and ends above it. In Lemma 13, we show that this assumption is correct if we restrict ourselves to intersections on the central face.

Lemma 13. *Let C and C' be two simple, essential cycles in G sharing at least one vertex. Let H be the subgraph of G composed of the two cycles C and C' and denote the central face of H by f. Take subpaths uvw of C and $u'vw'$ of C' with the same vertex v in the middle, which also lies on f.*

1. *If $\ell_C(uv) \geq 0$ and $\ell_{C'}(u'v) \leq 0$, $u'v$ lies in the interior of C.*
2. *If $\ell_C(vw) \geq 0$ and $\ell_{C'}(vw') \leq 0$, vw' lies in the exterior of C.*

Proof. Case 1. Since the central face f lies in the interior of both C and C' and v on the boundary of f, one of the edges vw and vw' lies on f. We denote this edge by vx and it is either $x = w$ (as in Fig. 11a) or $x = w'$. By the Lemma 12, we have $\ell_C(uv) + \mathrm{rot}(uvx) = \ell_{C'}(u'v) + \mathrm{rot}(u'vx)$. Applying $\ell_C(uv) \geq 0$ and $\ell_{C'}(u'v) \leq 0$, we obtain $\mathrm{rot}(uvx) \leq \mathrm{rot}(u'vx)$. Therefore, $u'v$ lies to the right of or on uvx and thus in the interior of C.

Case 2. Assume that vw' does not lie in the exterior of C, that is, vw' lies locally to the right of uvw. Therefore, vw' lies on f, and Lemma 12 implies that $0 \geq \ell_{C'}(vw') = \ell_C(uv) + \mathrm{rot}(uvw')$ Since vw' lies locally to the right of uvw, it is $\mathrm{rot}(uvw) < \mathrm{rot}(uvw')$ and therefore $0 \geq \ell_C(uv) + \mathrm{rot}(uvw') > \ell_C(uv) + \mathrm{rot}(uvw) = \ell_C(vw)$ Here, the last equality follows from Observation 7. But this contradicts the assumption $\ell_C(vw) \geq 0$. Hence, vw' must lie in the exterior of C. □

For the correctnes proof in Section 6, a crucial insight is that for cycles using an edge which is part of a face, we can find an alternative cycle without this edge in a way that preserves labels on the common subpath:



(a) The labels of the incoming edges satisfy $\ell_C(uv) \geq 0$ and $\ell_{C'}(u'v) \leq 0$. The edges vw and vw' could be exchanged.



(b) The labels of the outgoing edges satisfy $\ell_C(vw) \geq 0$ and $\ell_{C'}(vw') \leq 0$. The edges uv and $u'v$ could be exchanged.

Figure 11: Possible intersection of two cycles C and C' at v.



(a) The cycle bounding the outer face is C'.



(b) The cycle bounding the central face is C'.

Figure 12: The edge e cannot lie on both the outer and the central face, which is marked by a cross. (a) e does not lie on the outer face, and hence the cycle bounding this face is defined as C'. (b) C' is the cycle bounding the central face. In both cases C' can be subdivided in two paths P and Q on C and f', respectively. Here, these paths are separated by the vertices a and b.

Lemma 14. *If an edge e belongs to both a simple essential cycle C and a regular face f' with $C \neq f'$, then there is a simple essential cycle C' not containing e such that C' can be decomposed into two paths P and Q, where P or $\overline{P}$ lies on f' and $Q = C \cap C'$.*

Proof. Consider the graph $H = C + f'$ composed of the essential cycle C and the regular face f'. In H the edge e cannot lie on both the outer and the central face. If e does not lie on the outer face, we define C' as the cycle bounding the outer face but directed such that it contains the center in its interior (see Fig. 12a). Otherwise, C' denotes the cycle bounding the central, which is illustrated in Fig. 12b.

Since C lies in the exterior of f', the intersection of C with C' forms one contiguous path Q. Setting $P = C - Q$ yields a path that lies completely on f' (it is possible though that P and f' are directed differently). □

Using this lemma, we construct an essential cycle C' without the new edge uz from an essential cycle C including uz. Also, C and C' have a common path P lying on the central face of $H = C + f'$. Thus, Lemma 12 implies labelings of C and C' being equal on P.



Figure 13: The situation of Lemma 16. All edges of C' are labeled with 0. In this situation there are edges on C with labels -1 and 1. Hence, C is neither increasing nor decreasing.

Corollary 15. *For essential cycles C, C' and the path $P = C \cap C'$ from Lemma 14, it is $\ell_C(e) = \ell_{C'}(e)$ for all edges e on P.*

For two intersecting essential cycles where all labels on one cycle are 0, we also observe:

Lemma 16. *Let C and C' be two essential cycles that have at least one common vertex. If all edges on C' are labeled with 0, C is neither increasing nor decreasing.*

Proof. The situation is illustrated in Fig. 13. If the two cycles are equal, the claim clearly holds. Otherwise, we show that one can find two edges on C such that the labels of these edges have opposite signs.

Let vw be an edge of C but not of C' such that v lies on the central face $\tilde{f}$ of $H = C + C'$, and denote the vertex before v on C' by u. By Lemma 12 it is

$$\ell_C(vw) = \ell_{C'}(uv) + \operatorname{rot}(uvw) = \operatorname{rot}(uvw). \tag{8}$$

The second equality follows from the assumption that $\ell_{C'}(uv) = 0$. Let y be the first common vertex of C and C' on the central face $\tilde{f}$ after v. That is, $\tilde{f}[v, y]$ is a part of one of the cycles C and C' and intersects the other cycle only at v and y. We denote the vertex on C before y by x and the vertex after y on C' by z. Again by Lemma 12 we have

$$\ell_C(xy) = \ell_{C'}(yz) - \operatorname{rot}(xyz) = -\operatorname{rot}(xyz). \tag{9}$$

By construction vw and xy lie on the same side of C'. Hence, uvw and xyz both make a right turn if vw and xy lie in the interior of C' and a left turn otherwise. Thus, it is $\operatorname{rot}(uvw) = \operatorname{rot}(xyz) \neq 0$, and Equations 8 and 9 imply that $\ell_C(uv)$ and $\ell_C(xy)$ have opposite signs. Hence, C is neither in- nor decreasing. □

5 Characterization of Rectangular Graphs

In this section, we prove Theorem 5 for rectangular graphs. A *rectangular graph* is a graph together with an ortho-radial representation in which every face is a rectangle. We define two flow networks that assign consistent lengths to the graph's edges, one for the vertical and one for the horizontal edges. These networks are straightforward adaptions of the networks used for drawing rectangular graphs in the plane [7]. In the following, *vertex* and *edge* refer to the vertices and edges of the graph G, whereas *node* and *arc* are used for the flow networks.

The network $N_{\text{ver}} = (F_{\text{ver}}, A_{\text{ver}})$ with nodes F_{ver} and arcs A_{ver} for the vertical edges contains one node for each face of G except for the central and the outer face. All nodes have a demand of 0. For each vertical edge e in G, which we assume to be directed upwards, there is an arc $a_e = fg$ in N_{ver}, where f is the face to the left of e and g the one to its right. The flow on fg has the lower bound $l(fg) = 1$ and upper bound $u(fg) = \infty$. An example of this flow network is shown in Fig. 14a.



(a) N_{ver} (b) N_{rad}

Figure 14: Flow networks N_{ver} and N_{rad} for an example graph G. For simplicity, the edge from the outer to the central face in N_{rad} is omitted.

To obtain a drawing from a flow in N_{ver}, we set the length of a vertical edge e to the flow on a_e. The conservation of the flow at each node f ensures that the two vertical sides of the face f have the same length.

Similarly, the network N_{rad} assigns lengths to the radial edges. There is a node for all faces of G, and an arc $a_e = fg$ for every horizontal edge e in G, which we assume to be oriented clockwise. Additionally, N_{rad} includes one arc from the outer to the central face. Again, all edges require a minimum flow of 1 and have infinite capacity. The demand of all nodes is 0. Fig. 14b shows an example of such a flow network.

Lemma 17. *A pair of valid flows in N_{rad} and N_{ver} bijectively corresponds to a valid ortho-radial drawing of G respecting Γ.*

Proof. Given a feasible flow φ in N_{ver}, we set the length of each vertical edge e of G to the flow $\varphi(a_e)$ on the arc a_e that crosses e. For each face f of G, the total length of its left side is equal to the total amount of flow entering f. Similarly, the length of the right side is equal to the amount of flow leaving f. As the flow is preserved at all nodes of N_{ver}, the left and right sides of f have the same length. Similarly, one obtains the length of the radial edges from a flow in N_{rad}.

Similarly, given a drawing of Γ, we can extract a flow in the networks. Since the opposing sides of the rectangles have the same length, the flow is preserved at the nodes. Moreover, all sides have length at least 1 and therefore the minimum flow on all arcs is guaranteed. □

Using this equivalence of drawings and feasible flows, we show the characterization of rectangular graphs.

Theorem 18. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a plane graph with an ortho-radial representation Γ satisfying Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4 such that all faces are rectangles. Let N_{rad} and N_{ver} be the flow networks as defined above. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) There is an ortho-radial drawing of Γ.

(ii) No $S \subseteq F_{ver}$ exists such that there is an arc from $F_{ver} \setminus S$ to S in N_{ver} but not vice versa.



Figure 15: The path P from s to v—constructed backwards by going only up or left—does not intersect the interior of C. The rotations of the edges on P relative to $e^\star$ are 0 or 1.

(iii) Γ *is valid.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let Δ be an ortho-radial drawing of G preserving the embedding described by Γ and let C be a simple, essential cycle directed such that the center lies in its interior. Our goal is to show that C is neither an increasing nor a decreasing cycle. To this end, we construct a path P from the reference edge to a vertex on C such that the labeling of C induced by P attains both positive and negative values.

In Δ either all vertices of C have the same y-coordinate, or there is a maximal subpath Q of C whose vertices all have the maximum y-coordinate among all vertices of C. In the first case, we may choose the endpoint v of the path P arbitrarily, whereas in the second case we select the first vertex of Q as v.

We construct the path P backwards (i.e., the construction yields $\overline{P}$) as follows: Starting at v we choose the edge going upwards from v, if it exists, or the one leading left. Since all faces of G are rectangles, at least one of these always exists. This procedure is repeated until the endpoint s of the reference edge is reached. An example of the constructed path is shown in Fig. 15

To show that this algorithm terminates, we assume that this was not the case. As G is finite, there must be a first time a vertex w is visited twice. Hence, there is a cycle C' in Δ containing w that contains only edges going left or up. As all drawable essential cycles with edges leading upwards must also have edges that go down [12], all edges of C' are horizontal. By construction, there is no edge incident to a vertex of C' that leads upwards. The only cycle with this property, however, is the one enclosing the outer face, because G is connected. But this cycle contains the reference, and therefore the algorithm halts.

This not only shows that the construction of P ends, but also that P is a path (i.e., the construction does not visit a vertex twice). However, P might be not elementary, since it may intersect C multiple times (e.g. in Fig. 15 P contains two vertices of C: v and x). But v has the smallest y-coordinate among all vertices of P and the largest among those on C. Thus, no part of P lies inside C. Hence, Lemma 11 guarantees that the labeling ℓ_C^P induced by P coincides with the labeling ℓ_C induced by any elementary path. To show that Γ satisfies Condition 3 of Definition 4, we consider the labeling ℓ_C^P induced by P.

Let v' be the vertex following v on C. By construction of P, the labeling of vv' induced by P is 0. If all edges of C are horizontal, this implies $\ell_C^P(e) = 0$ for all edges e of C, which satisfies Condition 3.

Otherwise, we claim that the edge $e_- = uv$ directly before Q and the edge $e_+ = wx$ directly following Q on C have labels -1 and $+1$, respectively. Since all edges on Q are horizontal and e_- goes down, we have $\mathrm{rot}(C[v,x]) = 1$ and therefore $\ell_C^P(e_+) = 1$. Similarly, $\mathrm{rot}(uvv') = 1$ implies that $\ell_C^P(uv) = \ell_C^P(vv') - \mathrm{rot}(uvv') = -1$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By Lemma 17 the existence of a drawing is equivalent to the existence of feasible flows in N_{rad} and N_{ver}. We claim that N_{rad} always possesses a feasible flow. To construct a flow, note that N_{rad} without the arc from the outer face g to the central face f is a directed acyclic graph with f as its only source and g as its only sink. For each arc $a \neq gf$ in N_{rad} there is a directed path P_a from f to g via a. Adding the arc gf, we obtain the cycle $C_a = P_a + gf$. Letting one unit flow along each of these cycles C_a and adding all flows gives the desired flow in N_{rad}. By construction, each arc a lies on at least one cycle (namely C_a), and hence, the minimum flow of 1 on a is satisfied.

To construct a feasible flow in N_{ver} we again compose cycles of flow. In order to find a directed cycle using an arc fg, we define the set S_g of all nodes h for which there exists a directed path from g to h in N_{ver}. By definition, there is no arc from a vertex in S_g to a vertex not in S_g. As N_{ver} satisfies (ii), S_g does not have any incoming arcs either. Hence, $f \in S_g$ and there is a directed path from g to f. Closing this path with the arc fg results in a cycle of N_{ver}, which we denote by C_{fg}.

Repeating this process for all arcs of N_{ver}, we obtain the set $\mathcal{C}$ of the cycles C_a ($a \in A_{\mathrm{ver}}$). We set the flow $\varphi(a)$ on each arc a as the number of the cycles in $\mathcal{C}$ containing a. Since a lies on C_a, the flow on a is at least 1. As φ is the sum of unit flows along cycles, the flow is conserved at all nodes, i.e., the sum of the flows on incoming arcs of a node f is equal to the flow on arcs leaving f. Therefore, φ is a feasible flow in N_{ver}.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Instead of proving this implication directly, we show the contrapositive. That is, we assume that there is a set $S \subset F_{\mathrm{ver}}$ of nodes in N_{ver} such that S has no outgoing but at least one incoming arc. From this assumption we derive that Γ is not valid, as we find an increasing or decreasing cycle.

Let $N_{\mathrm{ver}}[S]$ denote the node-induced subgraph of N_{ver} induced by the set S. Without loss of generality, S can be chosen such that $N_{\mathrm{ver}}[S]$ is weakly connected. If $N_{\mathrm{ver}}[S]$ is not weakly connected, at least one weakly-connected component of $N_{\mathrm{ver}}[S]$ possesses an incoming arc and we can work with this component instead.

As each node of S corresponds to a face of G, S can also be considered as a collection of faces of G. To distinguish the two interpretations of S, we refer to this collection of faces by $\mathcal{S}$. Our goal is to show that the innermost or the outermost boundary of $\mathcal{S}$ forms a cycle in the original graph G that has no valid labeling.

Fig. 16 shows an example of such a set S of nodes. Here, the arcs a and a' lead from a node outside of S to one in S. These arcs cross edges on the outer boundary of $\mathcal{S}$, which point upwards.

Each node of S has at least one outgoing arc, which must end at another node of S. Hence, S contains a cycle, and therefore $\mathcal{S}$ separates the outer and the central face of G. Thus, the cycle C that constitutes the outermost boundary of $\mathcal{S}$, i.e., the smallest cycle containing all faces of $\mathcal{S}$, is essential. Similarly, we define C' as the cycle forming the innermost boundary of $\mathcal{S}$.

By assumption there is an arc a from a node entering S from the outside. Since $N_{\mathrm{ver}}[S]$ is weakly connected, $\mathcal{S}$ has no holes and the edge e crossed by a either lies on C or C'. In the former case e points up whereas in the latter case e points down. If e lies on C we prove in the following that C is an increasing cycle. Similarly one can show that C' is a decreasing cycle if e lies on C'. We only present the argument for C as the one for C' is similar.

We first observe that no edge on C is directed downwards, since the arc a_e corresponding to a downward edge e would lead from a node in S to a node outside of S. To restrict the possible labels for edges on C, we construct an elementary path P from the endpoint s of the reference



Figure 16: A set S of nodes in a graph G such that $N_{\text{ver}}[S]$ has no outgoing but two incoming arcs a and a'. The set of faces $\mathcal{S}$ corresponding to the nodes in S are shaded with blue. The outermost boundary of $\mathcal{S}$ forms an increasing cycle C. The edges on this cycle with label -1 are exactly those that are crossed by a or a'. All other edges on C are labeled with 0. Note that the edge on C at the bottom is curved because G does not admit an ortho-radial drawing.

edge to a vertex on C. The construction is similar to the one we used to show that the existence of a drawing implies the validity of the representation, but this time the construction works forwards starting at s. If the current vertex lies on C, the path is completed. Otherwise, we append the edge going down, if it exists, or the one that goes to the right. As above one can show that this procedure produces a path P. It is even elementary, because we stop when a vertex on C is reached.

Let v be the endpoint of P and w the vertex on C following v. By construction, $\text{rot}(e^\star + P) \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore, $\ell_C(vw) = 0$ if vw points right or $\ell_C(vw) = -1$ if it points up. Since no edge on C has a label that is congruent to 1 modulo 4 (i.e., the edge is downwards) and the labels of neighboring edges differ by -1, 0 or 1, we obtain $\ell_C(e') \in \{-2, -1, 0\}$ for all edges $e' \in C$. In particular, $\ell_C(e') \leq 0$. But the edge e crossed by the arc a points upwards and therefore $\ell_C(e) = -1$. Hence, C is an increasing cycle and Γ is not valid. □

By [12] an ortho-radial drawing of a graph satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4. Therefore, Theorem 18 implies the characterization of ortho-radial drawings for rectangular graphs.

Corollary 19 (Theorem 5 for Rectangular Graphs)**.** *A rectangular 4-plane graph admits a bend-free ortho-radial drawing if and only if its ortho-radial representation is valid.*

6 Characterization of 4-Planar Graphs

In the previous section we proved for rectangular graphs that there is an ortho-radial drawing if and only if the ortho-radial representation is valid. We extend this result to 4-planar graphs by reduction to the rectangular case. In this section we provide a high-level overview of the reduction; a detailed proof is found in Section 6.2.



Figure 17: The outer and the central face are rectangulated by adding cycles of length 3. The cycle C' is connected to an arbitrary edge xy that has label 0 and C'' is connected to a new vertex on the old reference edge rs. The edge uv is selected as the new reference edge.

In Section 6.1 we present an algorithm augmenting G such that all faces become rectangles. As we show in Section 6.2 we then can apply Corollary 19 to show the remaining implication of Theorem 5.

6.1 Rectangulation Algorithm

Given a graph G and its ortho-radial representation Γ as input, we present a rectangulation algorithm that augments Γ producing a graph G' with ortho-radial representation Γ' such that all faces except the central and outer face of Γ' are rectangles. Moreover, we ensure that the outer and the central face of Γ' make no turns.

Let u be a vertex that has a left turn on a face f and vw be an edge on f. Let further t be the vertex before u on f. We obtain an *augmentation* Γ^u_{vw} from Γ by splitting the edge vw into two edges vz and zw introducing a new vertex z. Further, we insert the edge uz in the interior of f such that uz points in the same direction as tu. For an illustration of an augmentation see Fig. 19.

If the central and outer faces are not rectangles, we insert triangles in both faces and suitably connect these to the original graph; see Fig. 17. For the central face g we identify an edge e on the simple cycle C bounding g such that $\ell_C(e) = 0$. Since Γ is valid and C is an essential cycle, such an edge must exist. We then insert a new cycle C' of length 3 inside g and connect one of its vertices to a new vertex on e. The new cycle C' now forms the boundary of the central face.Analogously, we insert into the outer face a cycle C'' of length 3 which contains G and is connected to the reference edge.

In the remainder we therefore only need to consider regular faces. By definition of a rectangle, as long as there is a regular face that is not a rectangle, there is a left turn on that face. We augment that face with an additional edge such that the left turn is resolved and no further left turns are introduced, which guarantees that the procedure of augmenting the graph terminates.

We further argue that we augment the given representation in such a way that it remains valid. Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4 are easy to preserve if we choose the targets of the augmentation correctly; the proof is analogous to the proof by Tamassia [16]. In particular, the next observation helps us to check for these two conditions.



Figure 18: The face f is shaped such that the edge that shall be inserted from a left turn must point to the left or to the right. The left turn at u_1 is followed by two right turns while the left turn at u_2 is preceded by two right turns.

Observation 20. The representation Γ^u_{vw} satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4 if and only if $\mathrm{rot}(f[u, vw]) = 2$.

We further have to check Condition 3, i.e., we need to ensure that we do not introduce monotone cycles when augmenting the graph.

We now describe the approach in more detail. Tamassia [16] shows that if there is a left turn, there also is a left turn on a face f such that the next two turns on f are right turns. We resolve that left turn by augmenting G, which we sketch in the following. Let u be the vertex at which face f takes that left turn. Let t be the vertex before u on f. We differentiate two major cases, namely that tu is either vertical or horizontal.

Case 1, tu is vertical. Let vw be the edge that appears on f after the two right turns following the left turn at u. We show that creating a new vertex z on vw and adding the edge uz (cf. to Fig. 19a for an example) always upholds validity; see Section 6.2 for details.

Case 2, tu is horizontal. This case is more intricate, because we may introduce decreasing or increasing cycles by augmenting the graph. Fig. 19b shows an example, where the graph does not include a descending cycle without the edge uz, but inserting uz introduces one. We therefore do not just consider Γ^u_{vw}, but Γ^u_e for a set of *candidate edges* e: These include all edges $v'w'$ on f such that $\mathrm{rot}(f[u, v'w']) = 2$. Hence, Condition 1 and Condition 2 are satisfied by Observation 20. If there is a candidate e such that Γ^u_e does not contain a monotone cycle, we are done.

So assume that there is no such candidate and, furthermore, assume without loss of generality that tu points to the right. Let the set of candidates be ordered as they appear on f. We show that introducing an edge from u to the first candidate never introduces an increasing cycle, while introducing an edge from u to the last candidate never introduces a decreasing cycle. This also implies that there must be a consecutive pair of candidates vw and $v'w'$ such that introducing an edge from u to vw creates a decreasing cycle and introducing an edge from u to $v'w'$ creates an increasing cycle.

In Section 6.2 we show that in that case, one of the edges uw or uv' can be inserted without introducing a monotone cycle. Together with Observation 20, this concludes the proof that we can always remove the left turn at u from the representation while maintaining its validity.

Altogether, the algorithm consists of first finding a suitable left turn in the representation, then determining which of the two cases applies and finally performing the augmentation. In particular, when augmenting the graph, we need to ensure that we do not introduce monotone cycles. Checking for monotone cycles can trivially be done by testing all essential cycles. However, this may require an exponential number of tests and it is unknown whether this test can be done in polynomial time.

Therefore, one might wish to avoid the insertion of horizontal edges. However, faces can be shaped in which horizontal insertions are necessary, even if one additionally searches for left turns



(a) Γ^u_{vw} (b) Γ^u_{vw} (c) Γ^u_{wx}

Figure 19: Examples of augmentations. (a) Inserting uz is valid, if uz points upwards. (b) The representation Γ^u_{vw} is not valid since inserting the new edge introduces a decreasing cycle C. (c) The candidate wx instead gives the valid representation Γ^u_{wx}. The cycle C', which uses the same edges outside of f as C before, is neither in- nor decreasing.

that are preceded (and not only followed) by two right turns. For example, the U-shaped face in Fig. 18 only admits the insertion of new edges that point left or right.

6.2 Correctness of Rectangulation Algorithm

Following the proof structure outlined in the previous section we argue more detailedly that a valid augmentation always exists. We start with Case 1, in which the inserted edges is vertical and show that a valid augmentation always exists.

Lemma 21. *Let vw be the first candidate edge after u. If the edge of f entering u points up or down, Γ^u_{vw} is a valid ortho-radial representation of the augmented graph $G + uz$.*

Proof. Assume that Γ^u_{vw} contains a simple increasing or decreasing cycle C. As Γ is valid, C must contain the new edge uz in either direction (i.e., uz or zu). Let f' be the new rectangular face of $G + uz$ containing u, v and z, and consider the subgraph $H = C + f'$ of $G + uz$. According to Lemma 14 there exists a simple essential cycle C' that does not contain uz. Moreover, C' can be decomposed into paths P and Q such that P lies on f' and Q is a part of C.

The goal is to show that C' is increasing or decreasing. We present a proof only for the case of increasing C. The proof for decreasing cycles can be obtained by flipping all inequalities.

For each edge e on Q the labels $\ell_C(e)$ and $\ell_{C'}(e)$ are equal by Lemma 12, and hence $\ell_{C'}(e) \leq 0$. For an edge $e \in P$, there are two possible cases: e either lies on the side of f' parallel to uz or on one of the two other sides. In the first case, the label of e is equal to the label $\ell_C(uz)$ ($\ell_C(zu)$ if C contains zu instead of uz). In particular the label is negative.

In the second case, we first note that $\ell_{C'}(e)$ is even, since e points left or right. Assume that $\ell_{C'}(e)$ was positive and therefore at least 2. Then, let e' be the first edge on C' after e that points to a different direction. Such an edge exists, since otherwise C' would be an essential cycle whose edges all point to the right but they are not labeled with 0. This edge e' lies on Q or is parallel to uz. Hence, the argument above implies that $\ell_{C'}(e') \leq 0$. However, $\ell_{C'}(e')$ differs from $\ell_{C'}(e)$ by at most 1, which requires $\ell_{C'}(e') \geq 1$. Therefore, $\ell_{C'}(e)$ cannot be positive.

We conclude that all edges of C' have a non-positive label. If all labels were 0, C would not be an increasing cycle by Lemma 16. Thus, there exists an edge on C' with a negative label and C' is an increasing cycle in Γ. But as Γ is valid, such a cycle does not exist, and therefore C does not exist either. Hence, Γ^u_{vw} is valid. □

In Case 2 the inserted edge is horizontal. If there is a candidate e such that Γ^u_e is valid, we choose this augmentation and the left turn at u is removed successfully. Otherwise, we make use of the following structural properties. As mentioned before we assume in the following that uz points to the right; the other case can be treated analogously.



Figure 20: The increasing cycle C contains uz. There are three possibilities for edges on C' that lie not on C: They lie on the left side of f' (like e_1), on the top (like e_2), or on the right side formed by only the edge vz.

Lemma 22. *Let vw be the first candidate after u. No increasing cycle exists in Γ^u_{vw}.*

Proof. Let f' be the new rectangular face of Γ^u_{vw} containing u, v and z, and assume that there is an increasing cycle C in Γ^u_{vw}. This cycle must use either uz or zu. Similar to the proof of Lemma 21, we find an increasing cycle C' in Γ, contradicting the validity of Γ.

To this end, we construct the graph H as the union of C and f'. By Lemma 14, there exists a simple essential cycle C' without uz and zu that can be decomposed into a path P on f' and a path $Q \subseteq C \setminus f'$ such that all edges of Q have non-positive labels. We show in the following that the edges of P also have non-positive labels.

If C contains uz, there are three possibilities for an edge e of P, which are illustrated in Fig. 20: The edge e lies on the left side of f' and points up, e is parallel to uz, or $e = vz$. In the first case $\ell_{C'}(e) = \ell_C(uz) - 1 < 0$ and in the second case $\ell_{C'}(e) = \ell_C(uz) \leq 0$. If $e = vz$, C cannot contain zv and therefore $zw \in C$. Then, $\ell_{C'}(e) = \ell_C(zw) < 0$. In all three cases the label of e is at most 0.

If C contains zu, the label of zu has to leave a remainder of 2 when it is divided by 4 since zu points to the right. As the label is also at most 0, we conclude $\ell_C(zu) \leq -2$. The edges of P lie either on the left, top or right of f'. Therefore, the label of any edge e on P differs by at most 1 from $\ell_C(zu)$, and thus we get $\ell_{C'}(e) \leq 0$.

Summarizing the results above, we see that all edges on C' are labeled with non-positive numbers. The case that all labels of C' are equal to 0 can be excluded, since C would not be an increasing cycles by Lemma 16. Hence, C' is an increasing cycle, which was already present in Γ, contradicting the validity of Γ. Thus, no such increasing cycle C in Γ^u_{vw} exists. □

In order to obtain a similar result for the last candidate, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of a candidate after an edge.

Lemma 23. *Let f be a regular face of G and u be any vertex on f. If e is an edge on f such that $\mathrm{rot}(f[u,e]) \leq 2$, there is a candidate on $f[e,u]$.*

Proof. For each edge e' on f we determine $r(e') := \mathrm{rot}(f[u,e'])$. By assumption, it is $r(e) \leq 2$. For the last edge e_{last} on $f[e,u]$ it is $r(e_{\text{last}}) = \mathrm{rot}(f) - \mathrm{rot}(u) \geq 3$. We use that f is a regular face (i.e., $\mathrm{rot}(f) = 4$) and the rotation $\mathrm{rot}(u)$ at the vertex u in f is at most 1.



Figure 21: The situation in the proof of Lemma 24. The cycle C is decreasing and it is assumed that $\ell_{C'}(xy) < 0$.

When going from an edge e_1 to the next edge e_2, the value assigned to these edges increases by at most 1, i.e., $r(e_2) \leq r(e_1) + 1$. Therefore, there exists an edge e' between e and e_{last}, i.e., on $f[e, u]$ such that $r(e') = 2$. □

We now show that augmenting to the last candidate does not create any decreasing cycles.

Lemma 24. *Let vw be the last candidate before u. No decreasing cycle exists in Γ^u_{vw}.*

Proof. The face f is split in two parts when uz is inserted. Let f' be the face containing v and f'' the one containing w. Assume that there is a simple decreasing cycle C in Γ^u_{vw}. Then, either uz or zu lies on C. We use a similar strategy as in the proof of Lemma 21 to find a decreasing cycle in Γ, which contradicts that Γ is valid.

Consider the graph $H = f'' + C$ composed of the decreasing cycle C and f''. Lemma 14 shows that there exists an essential cycle C' in H that can be decomposed into a path P on f'' and $Q = C \cap C'$ (see Fig. 21). We show in the following that C' is a decreasing cycle. But all edges of C' are already present in Γ, contradicting the assumption that Γ is valid. For all edges $e \in E(Q)$, we have $\ell_C(e) = \ell_{C'}(e) \geq 0$ by Corollary 15.

To show that edges on P also have non-negative labels, we assume this was not the case, i.e., there is an edge $xy \in P$ such that $\ell_{C'}(xy) < 0$. We present a detailed argument for the case that C uses uz (and not zu). Then, P is directed such that f'' lies to the left of P. At the end of the proof, we briefly outline how the argument can be adapted if C uses zu.

Our goal is to show that there must be a candidate on f after y and in particular after the last candidate vw—a contradiction. To this end, we first assume that $\text{rot}(f[u, yx] < 3)$, which we prove later on. By Lemma 23 there then is a candidate on $f[yx, u]$. In particular, this candidate comes after vw, contradicting the assumption that vw is the last candidate.

Moreover, not all labels of edges on C' can be 0, since C would not be decreasing (cf. Lemma 16). Thus, C' is a decreasing cycle that consists solely of edges of G. But as Γ is valid such a cycle cannot exist, showing that Γ^u_{vw} contains no decreasing cycle either.

It remains to show the upper bound $\text{rot}(f[u, yx]) < 3$. Let ab and cd be the last and the first edge of Q, respectively. In order to simplify the descriptions of the paths we use below, we assume without loss of generality that ab and cd have no common endpoints. This property does not hold, only if Q has at most two edges, in which case we may subdivide an edge of Q to lengthen Q.

Applying Lemma 9 to $C[a, d]$ and $C'[a, d]$, we get

$$\text{rot}(C[a, d]) = \text{rot}(C'[a, d]). \tag{10}$$

Splitting the paths at uz and xy, respectively, the total rotation does not change (cf. Observation 1).

$$\operatorname{rot}(C[a,d]) = \operatorname{rot}(C[a,uz]) + \operatorname{rot}(C[uz,d]) \tag{11}$$
$$\operatorname{rot}(C'[a,d]) = \operatorname{rot}(C'[a,xy]) + \operatorname{rot}(C'[xy,d]) \tag{12}$$

Combining the previous equations gives

$$\operatorname{rot}(C[a,uz]) + \operatorname{rot}(C[uz,d]) - \operatorname{rot}(C'[a,xy]) - \operatorname{rot}(C'[xy,d]) = 0. \tag{13}$$

As $C'[xy,d] = \overline{f''}[xy,c] + cd$, we get

$$\operatorname{rot}(C'[xy,d]) = \operatorname{rot}(\overline{f''}[xy,c] + cd) = -\operatorname{rot}\left(dc + f''[c,yx]\right). \tag{14}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that the rotation of the reverse of a path is the negative rotation of the path (cf. Observation 2). Applying Lemma 10 to $C[uz,d]$ and $f''[uz,c] + cd$, we get

$$\operatorname{rot}(C[uz,d]) = \operatorname{rot}(f''[uz,c] + cd). \tag{15}$$

Substituting Equations 14 and 15 into Equation 13 yields

$$\operatorname{rot}(C[a,uz]) + \operatorname{rot}(f''[uz,c] + cd) - \operatorname{rot}(C'[a,xy]) + \operatorname{rot}(dc + f''[c,yx]) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Note that if one joins $f''[uz,c] + cd$ and $dc + f''[c,yx]$ together, the resulting path is almost $f''[uz,yx]$ except for the detour via d. Observation 3 therefore implies

$$\operatorname{rot}\left(f''[uz,c] + cd\right) + \operatorname{rot}\left(dc + f''[c,yx]\right) = \operatorname{rot}(f''[u,yx]) - 2. \tag{17}$$

Substituting this equality in Equation 16 and rearranging results in

$$\operatorname{rot}(f''[u,yx]) = 2 + \operatorname{rot}(C'[a,xy]) - \operatorname{rot}(C[a,uz]). \tag{18}$$

By Observation 7 the rotations on an essential cycle can be expressed as the difference of labels. Here, we have $\operatorname{rot}(C'[a,xy]) = \ell_{C'}(xy) - \ell_{C'}(ab)$ and $\operatorname{rot}(C[a,uz]) = \ell_C(uz) - \ell_C(ab)$. Additionally ab lies on Q, and therefore it is $\ell_C(ab) = \ell_{C'}(ab)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{rot}(f''[u,yx]) &= 2 + \ell_{C'}(xy) - \ell_{C'}(ab) - \ell_C(uz) + \ell_C(ab)\\ &= 2 + \ell_{C'}(xy) - \ell_C(uz)\\ &< 2.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By construction of Γ^u_{vw} the rotations of $f[u,yx]$ and $f''[u,yx]$ differ by exactly 1, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{rot} f[u,yx] = \operatorname{rot} f''[u,yx] + 1 < 3. \tag{20}$$

We assumed that C uses uz in that direction. If zu lies on C, a similar argument shows that C' would also be a decreasing cycle, contradicting that assumption that Γ is valid. □

By assumption Γ^u_e contains a monotone cycle for all candidate edges e. By Lemma 22 the augmentation for the first candidate contains a decreasing cycle and by Lemma 24 the augmentation for the last candidate has an increasing cycle. Hence, there are consecutive candidates vw and $v'w'$ such that Γ^u_{vw} has a decreasing cycle and $\Gamma^u_{v'w'}$ an increasing cycle.

Lemma 25. *Let Q be the path on f between the candidates vw and $v'w'$. Then, there is a path P in G containing w, v' and u such that the edges point to the right. More precisely, P starts at w or v' and ends at u, and either Q or $\overline{Q}$ forms the first part of P. Moreover, the start vertex of P has no incident edge to its left.*



Figure 22: Three possibilities how the path between w and v' can look like: (a) $w = v'$, (b) all edges point right, and (c) all edges point left. In the first two cases the edge uw is inserted and in (c) uv' is added.



Figure 23: The structure that is used to simulate the insertion of both uz and uz' at the same time. The edge uz is replaced by the path uxz and uz' by $uxyz'$.

Proof. Let z be the new vertex inserted in Γ^u_{vw} and z' the one in $\Gamma^u_{v'w'}$. Since both uz and uz' point to the right, there is no augmentation of Γ containing both edges. We need to compare Γ^u_{vw} and $\Gamma^u_{v'w'}$ though. Therefore, we use the following construction, which models all important aspects of both representations: Starting from Γ we insert new vertices z on vw and z' on $v'w'$. We connect u and z by a path of length 2 that points to the right and denote its internal vertex by x. Furthermore, a path of length 2 from x via a new vertex y to z' is added. The edge xy points down and yz' to the right. In the resulting ortho-radial representation $\tilde{\Gamma}$ the edge uz is modeled by the path uxz and uz' by $uxyz'$. The resulting construction is depicted in Fig. 23.

Take any simple decreasing cycle in Γ^u_{vw}. As Γ is valid, this cycle must contain either uz or zu. We obtain a cycle C in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by replacing uz with uxz (or zu with zxu). Note that ux and xz have the same label as uz, and the labels of all other edges on the cycles stay the same. Therefore, C is a decreasing cycle.

Similarly, there exists a simple increasing cycle in $\Gamma^u_{v'w'}$, which contains uz' or $z'u$. Replacing uz' with $uxyz'$ (or $z'u$ with $z'yxu$) we get a cycle C' in $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Note that C' might not be an increasing cycle as $\ell_C(xy)$ might be positive. But the labels of all other edges are at most 0 and there exists an edge with a negative label. In other words, outside of f the cycle C' behaves exactly like an increasing cycle.

For now we assume that the original cycles use uz and uz' in these directions. At the end of the proof, we shall see that this is in fact the only possibility. The proof is structured as follows: First, we show $\ell_C(ux) = \ell_{C'}(ux) = 0$. This also determines the labels of C and C' in the interior of f. In a second step, we find that at least one of the vertices w and v' has no incident edge to the left and the other vertex lies on both C and C'. Note that $w = v'$ is possible. In this case, $w = v'$ has all the properties mentioned. Moreover, we prove that the path Q on f between w and v' (of length 0 if $w = v'$) is straight and can be used as the first part of the desired path P.



Figure 24: If ux did not lie on the central face $\tilde{f}$, there would be edges ab on C and $a'b$ on C' such that ab would lie on $\tilde{f}$ but $a'b$ does not. But as $\ell_C(ab) \geq 0$ and $\ell_{C'}(a'b) \leq 0$, the edge $a'b$ would have to lie inside $\tilde{f}$. Hence, this situation does not occur.

From this information we can infer that there are three possibilities as shown in Fig. 22: Either $w = v'$, all edges on f between w and v' point right, or they all point left. Finally, we show that outside of f, the cycles C and C' are equal. In particular, all their edges point to the right and we can use them as the second part of the desired path P.

To show $\ell_C(ux) = \ell_{C'}(ux)$, we consider the graph $H = C + C'$ formed by the two cycles C and C' and denote its central face by $\tilde{f}$. By Lemma 12 it suffices to show that ux lies on $\tilde{f}$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that this was not the case. Then, xy, xz and yz' do not lie on $\tilde{f}$ either. Hence, $\tilde{f}$ is formed completely by edges in $E(C[z,u]) \cup E(C'[z',u])$. As C and C' were constructed by subdividing edges of simple cycles, they are simples themselves. Therefore, the edges of $\tilde{f}$ do not all belong to the same cycle. Therefore, there is an edge ab on $\tilde{f}$ such that b lies on both C and C' but ab only belongs to C and not to C' (cf. Fig. 24). Since C is a decreasing cycle, it is $\ell_C(ab) \geq 0$.

Moreover, let a' be the vertex before b on C'. Since C' is almost an increasing cycle, we get $\ell_{C'}(a'b) \leq 0$ unless $a'b = xy$. But this is impossible since y does not lie on $\tilde{f}$. Lemma 13 therefore implies that $a'b$ lies in the interior of C. But then ab would not lie on $\tilde{f}$, contradicting the choice of ab. Thus, ux is part of $\tilde{f}$.

Hence, Lemma 12 applies to ux and we obtain

$$0 \leq \ell_C(ux) = \ell_{C'}(ux) \leq 0.$$

Thus, $\ell_C(ux) = \ell_{C'}(ux) = 0$. Furthermore, we get $\ell_C(xz) = \ell_{C'}(yz') = 0$. Therefore, C contains zw, because otherwise zv would be labeled with -1. Similarly, we see that $z'v'$ lies on C'.

As a next step we prove that one of v' and w has no incident edge to its left and the other vertex lies on both C and C'. This is the case if $v' = w$, since any subgraph to the left of this vertex would contain a candidate. Remember that we treat degree-1 vertices as two left turns with an edge in between and this edge can be a candidate. Therefore, even in the extreme case, where the subgraph to the left of $v' = w$ is just one path that points left, we find a candidate—namely the leftmost endpoint of the path.

If $w \neq v'$, f makes either a left or a right turn at w. If f makes a left turn at w, C makes a left turn there as well. Let t be the vertex at which C leaves f after w. In other words, t is the last vertex of C such that $C[w,t]$ lies on f. All edges of f starting at t lie to the right of C (i.e., in the interior of C). This situation is illustrated in Fig. 25.



(a) The path $C[w,t]$ makes a right turn at v'. But then $C[z',u]+uz'$ would be a decreasing cycle in $\Gamma^u_{v'w'}$.



(b) The path $C[w,t]$ is straight, which is the only possibility. In this case it is $t=v'$.

Figure 25: The face f makes a left turn at w.



Figure 26: If f makes a right turn at w, it makes a left turn at v'. The longest common path $C'[v',t]$ on C' and $\overline{f}$ contains w and all its edges point to the right.

We show that $C[w,t]$ contains v' and that all edges of $C[w,v']$ point to the right. If $C[w,t]$ makes turns, the first turn cannot be a left turn, since the edge following the turn would lie on C and be labeled with -1. If the first turn is a right turn, the edge following the turn is the candidate $v'w'$. But then $\Gamma_u^{v'w'}$ would contain the decreasing cycle $C[z',u]+uz'$, which contradicts the choice of $v'w'$ (cf. Fig. 25a). Hence, it remains the case in which $C[w,t]$ is straight, which is illustrated in Fig. 25b. The edge following t on C must point right. Therefore, f makes a right turn at t implying $t=v'$. In all possible cases, v' lies on both C and C' and the path $Q:=C[w,v']$ is straight and points to the right.

If f makes a right turn at w, we consider the edge wa following this turn.

$$\operatorname{rot} f[u,wa] = \operatorname{rot} f[u,vw] + \operatorname{rot}(vwa) = 2+1=3$$

Since $v'w'$ is a candidate, it is $\operatorname{rot}(f[u,v'w']) = 2$. When walking along f the rotation changes by at most 1 per step, since degree-1 vertices are treated as two steps. Hence, the rotations of all the edges between vw and $v'w'$ must be at least 3. Otherwise, there would be another candidate in between. Therefore, f makes a left turn at v'.

As C' enters v' on the edge $z'v'$ from below, C' must leave v' to the right. Thus, there is a part of C' starting at v' that lies on $\overline{f}$. Let t be the last vertex on C' such that $C[v',t]=\overline{f}[v',t]$. Fig. 26 illustrates the situation.

Similar to the case above, we analyze the first turn of $C'[v',t]$, if it exists, and show that w lies on this path. Moreover, we see that all edges of this path point to the right. Because C' is an

increasing cycle and the first edge of $C'[v', t]$ is labeled with 0, the first turn cannot be a right turn. If it is a left turn, the left turn must occur at w. Note that in this case Γ^u_{vw} contains an increasing cycle. We shall see that this situation actually cannot occur, but we cannot exclude this possibility yet. If $C'[v', t]$ makes no turns, the edge on C' after t also points to the right and the edge on f incident to t is the candidate vw. Hence, the path $Q := C'[v', w]$ points completely to the right.

In all cases we found a (possibly empty) path Q from v' to w or vice versa, whose edges point to the right. Moreover, the endpoint t of this path lies on both C and C'. The path Q is the initial part of the desired path P. To construct the remaining part of P, we prove that $C[t, u] = C'[t, u]$. Moreover, we show that all edges on this path point to the right.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that $C[t, u] \neq C'[t, u]$. Then, let ab be the last edge of $C[t, u]$ that does not lie on C'. Let $a'b$ be the edge of C' entering b. By Lemma 13 the edge $a'b$ lies in the interior of C. But then consider the last common vertex c of C and C' before b. We denote the edges of C and C' starting at c by cd and cd', respectively. As ab lies in the interior of C, so does cd'. But according to Lemma 13 the edge ab' lies in the exterior of C, a contradiction. Thus, all edges of $C[t, u]$ lie on $C'[t, u]$ as well. Since these are both paths from t to u, this means that they are equal. To shorten the notation, we refer to $C[t, u]$ as R.

Since $\ell_C(ux) = \ell_{C'}(ux)$, it is $\ell_C(e) = \ell_{C'}(e)$ for all edges e on R. Moreover, as C is a decreasing and C' an (almost) increasing cycle, these labels must be 0. In particular, all edges on R point to the right.

Thus, $P = Q + R$ is a path containing both v_i and w ending at u, such that all edges of P point to the right, which concludes the proof for the case when both C and C' use the edge ux in this direction.

It remains to show that neither C nor C' can contain xu. By an argument similar to the one above, we know that xu lies on the boundary of the central face $\tilde{f}$ of $H = C + C'$. Since C and C' contain $\tilde{f}$ in their interiors, any edge e on one of them incident to $\tilde{f}$ is directed such that $\tilde{f}$ lies locally to the right of e. If C and C' used ux in different directions, this implies that $\tilde{f}$ lies locally to the right of both ux and xu, which is impossible.

Hence, if xu lies on one cycle, it lies on the other one, too. In this case, it is $\ell_C(xu) = \ell_{C'}(xu) = 0$. But xu points to the left and therefore its label must leave a remainder of 2 when divided by 4. Thus, the assumption that both C and C' contain ux is justified as none of the other cases can occur. □

There are three possible ways how the vertices w and v' can be arranged on P; see Fig. 22. Either $w = v'$, w comes before v', or v' comes before w. In any case we denote the start vertex of P by z. According to Lemma 25, no edge is incident to the left of z. Hence, the insertion of the edge uz such that it points right gives a new ortho-radial representation Γ', which is valid by the following lemma.

Lemma 26. *Let Γ' be the ortho-radial representation that is obtained from Γ by adding the edge uz pointing to the right as in Lemma 25. Then, Γ' is valid.*

Proof. By construction, Γ' satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4. Let $C' = P + uz$ be the new cycle whose edges point right. It is $\ell_{C'}(e) = 0$ for each edge e of C'. No essential cycles without uz or zu is increasing or decreasing, since they are already present in the valid representation Γ. If an essential cycle C contains uz or zu and in particular the vertex u, Lemma 16 states that C is neither increasing nor decreasing. Thus, Γ' satisfies Condition 3 and is therefore valid. □

Putting all results together we see that the rectangulation algorithm presented in Section 6.1 works correctly. That is, given a valid ortho-radial representation Γ, the algorithm produces



(a) The result of the geometric rectangulation of an ortho-radial graph drawing. The edges of the original graph are drawn in solid black and the edges inserted during the rectangulation in dashed blue. The reference edge $e^\star$ is chosen as an edge on the outermost circle.



(b) One face is rectangulated by casting rays from the left turns until the intersect with an edge.

Figure 27: Rectangulations of a graph and a single face

another valid ortho-radial representation Γ' such that all faces of Γ' are rectangles and Γ is contained in Γ'. Combining this result with Corollary 19 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 27. *Let Γ be a valid ortho-radial representation of a graph G. Then there is a drawing of G representing Γ.*

Theorem 27 shows one direction of implication of Theorem 5. The other direction is proved by the following theorem.

Theorem 28. *For any drawing Δ of a 4-planar graph G there is a valid ortho-radial representation of G.*

Proof. We first note that any drawing Δ fixes an ortho-radial representation up to the choice of the reference edge. Let Γ be such an ortho-radial representation where we pick an edge $e^\star$ on the outer face as the reference edge such that $e^\star$ points to the right and lies on the outermost circle that is used by Δ (as in Fig. 27a). By [12] the representation Γ satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 4. To prove that Γ also satisfies Condition 3, i.e., Γ does not contain any increasing or decreasing cycles, we show how to reduce the general case to the more restricted one, where all faces are rectangles. By Corollary 19 the existence of a drawing and the validity of the ortho-radial representation are equivalent.

Given the drawing Δ, we augment it such that all faces are rectangles. This rectangulation is similar to the one described in Section 6.1 but works with a drawing and not only with a representation. We first insert the missing parts of the innermost and outermost circle that are used by Δ such that the outer and the central face are already rectangles. For each left turn on a face f at a vertex u, we then cast a ray from v in f in the direction in which the incoming edge of u points (cf. Fig. 27b). This ray intersects another edge in Δ. Say the first intersection occurs at the point p. Either there already is a vertex z drawn at p or p lies on an edge. In the latter

case, we insert a new vertex, which we call z, at p. We then insert the edge uz in G and update Δ and Γ accordingly.

Repeating this step for all left turns, we obtain a drawing Δ' and an ortho-radial representation Γ' of the augmented graph G' (see Fig. 27a for an example of Δ'). As the labelings of essential cycles are unchanged by the addition of edges elsewhere in the graph, any increasing or decreasing cycle in Γ would also appear in Γ'. But by Corollary 19 Γ' is valid, and hence neither Γ nor Γ' contain increasing or decreasing cycles. Thus, Γ satisfies Condition 3 and is valid. □

7 NP-Hardness Proof for Drawings without Bends

Garg and Tamassia [10] showed that it is $\mathcal{NP}$-complete to decide whether a 4-planar graph admits an orthogonal drawing without any edge bends (Othogonal Embeddability). In this section, we study the analogous problem for ortho-radial drawings and prove that it is $\mathcal{NP}$-complete as well. We say a graph G admits an *ortho-radial (or orthogonal) embedding*, if there is an embedding of G such that G can be drawn ortho-radially (or orthogonally) without bends.

Definition 29 (Ortho-Radial Embeddability)**.** Does a 4-planar graph G admit an ortho-radial embedding?

To show that Ortho-Radial Embeddability is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard, we reduce Planar Monotone 3-SAT, which was shown to be $\mathcal{NP}$-hard by [13], to Ortho-Radial Embeddability.

Definition 30 (Planar Monotone 3-SAT)**.** Given a Boolean formula Φ in conjunctive normal form, such that each clause contains exactly three literals that are either all positive or all negative and a planar representation of its variable-clause-graph in which the variables are placed on one line, the clauses with only positive literals above that line and the clauses with only negative literals below this line. Is Φ satisfiable?

To reduce from Planar Monotone 3-SAT to Ortho-Radial Embedding we first construct an equivalent instance G of Orthogonal Embeddability as described by Bläsius et al. [1]. We then build a structure around G yielding a graph G' such that in any ortho-radial representation of G' the representation Γ of G does not contain any essential cycles. In other words, Γ is actually an orthogonal representation of G. Hence, an ortho-radial embedding of G' can only exist if G admits an orthogonal embedding. We may assume without loss of generality that G is connected as otherwise, we handle each component separately.

The construction of G' from G is based on the fact that there is only one way to ortho-radially draw a triangle C, i.e., a cycle of length 3, without bends: as an essential cycle on one circle of the grid. We build a graph H consisting of three triangles called C_1, C_2 and C_3 and denote the vertices on C_i by u_i, v_i and w_i. Furthermore, H contains the edges u_1u_2 and u_2u_3. In Fig. 28 H is formed by the black edges.

To connect H and G, we identify a vertex z in G, called the *port* of G, such that either there is an orthogonal embedding of G with z on the outer face and the angle at z in the outer face is at least 180°, or G does not admit an orthogonal embedding. We shall see later how such a vertex can be identified in polynomial time. We connect z and v_2 by a path P whose length is equal to the number of edges in G and denote the resulting graph by G' (cf. Fig. 28).

Lemma 31. *The graph G' admits an ortho-radial embedding if and only if G admits an orthogonal embedding with the port z on the outer face such that the angle at z in the outer face is at least* 180°.



(a) G lies between C_1 and C_2.

(b) G lies between C_2 and C_3.

Figure 28: Possible embeddings of G': In both cases G contains no essential cycles. The roles of C_1 and C_3 can be exchanged.

Proof. Let Γ' be a valid ortho-radial representation of G' without edge bends. In Γ' all three cycles C_1, C_2 and C_3 are essential cycles. Hence, C_2 lies between C_1 and C_3. Furthermore, G either lies inside the area enclosed by C_1, C_2 and u_1u_2 (as shown in Fig. 28a), or in the area enclosed by C_2, C_3 and u_2u_3 (as in Fig. 28b). Therefore, G cannot contain any essential cycles. Hence, the ortho-radial representation of G can be interpreted as an orthogonal representation, and thus, G admits an orthogonal embedding. Since P ends at the port z and intersects G only at z, the angle at z in the outer face of G must be at least 180°.

Let Γ be an orthogonal representation of G without bends such that z lies on the outer face and the angle at z in the outer face is at least 180°. We interpret Γ as an ortho-radial representation of G and extend it to a representation of G' as follows: We embed H such that C_1 lies in the interior of C_2, which in turn lies in C_3. We place G between C_1 and C_2 as shown in Fig. 28a. Since Γ has no bends, the path P connecting G and H needs to make at most as many turns as there are edges incident to the outer face of G. Since the length of G is equal to the number of edges of G, we can place P such that all turns occur at its vertices, that is, without edge bends. As the angle at the port z has at least 180°, P can lie completely in the exterior of G. □

The construction of G' relies on the fact that we find a vertex z in G such that placing z on the outer face of G does not restrict the possible embeddings too much. In order to show how z can be chosen, we present some details of the reduction from Planar Monotone 3-SAT to Orthogonal Embeddability by Bläsius et al. [1].

The idea behind the reduction is to rebuild the variable-clause graph of Φ and represent the truth values by edge bends. Fig. 29 provides an example of this construction. Bläsius et al. introduce edges that must have exactly one bend (*1-edges*) and represent true and false as bends in different directions. In our figures, 1-edges are drawn as dashed blue lines (e.g., in Fig. 29b). However, instances of Orthogonal Embeddability cannot contain 1-edges and the gadget shown in Fig. 30c is used instead. Flipping the embedding of this gadget changes the direction of the bend.

We want to choose the port z on a part of G that corresponds to one of the outermost clauses



Figure 29: (a) An instance Φ of Planar Monotone 3-SAT. (b) A schematic drawing of the graph G constructed from Φ as described by Bläsius et al. [1]. The red-shaded parts correspond to the clauses and the orange-shaded ones to the variables. The dashed blue lines refer to edges with exactly one bend, which encode the truth values.

(e.g., on c_1 or c_3 in Fig. 29). The gadget they use to represent clauses, is shown in Fig. 30a. Note that the boxes are not vertices but occurrences of the graph in Fig. 30b. Consider the gadget for one of the outermost clauses in the variable-clause graph. We choose any degree-2 vertex of the box in the corner as out port z (cf. Fig. 30a). By the following lemma this vertex has the desired properties.

Lemma 32. *Let z be the port of G as selected above. Then, exactly one of the following is true:*

1. *There is an orthogonal embedding of G in which z lies on the outer face and the angle at z in the outer face is at least 180°.*
2. *The graph G does not admit any orthogonal embedding.*

Proof. If G admits an orthogonal embedding, then Φ is satisfiable [1]. But then G can be embedded like the variable-clause graph of Φ. In particular, z lies on the outermost clause gadget. By the choice of z this implies that z also lies on the outer face of the whole graph G. Moreover, the angle at z can be set to 270°. □

Finding such a vertex z was the missing piece in the reduction from Planar Monotone 3-SAT to Orthogonal Embeddability.

Theorem 33. Ortho-Radial Embeddability *is $\mathcal{NP}$-complete.*

Proof. Clearly, Ortho-Radial Embeddability lies in $\mathcal{NP}$.

The construction presented above first transforms an instance Φ of Planar Monotone 3-SAT to an instance G of Orthogonal Embeddability and then to an instance G' of Ortho-Radial Embeddability. By Lemma 31 the graph G' admits an ortho-radial embedding if and only if G admits an orthogonal embedding with z on the outer face. According to Lemma 32 this is in turn equivalent to the existence of an orthogonal embedding of G without requiring z to lie



Figure 30: (a) The clause gadget. The dashed blue edges must have exactly one bend. The light gray edges indicate where the clause gadget is connected to the remainder of G. (b) The subgraph each box stands for. (c) The gadget that represents edges that must have exactly one bend. By flipping the embedding one can achieve both bends to the left and to the right.

on the outer face. Bläsius et al. [1] prove that G admits an orthogonal embedding if and only if Φ is satisfiable. In total, this implies that Φ and G' are equivalent. Furthermore, the reduction runs in polynomial time. As Planar Monotone 3-SAT is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard [6], Ortho-Radial Embeddability is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard as well. □

One might wonder why we do not directly reduce Orthogonal Embeddability to Ortho-Radial Embeddability but instead start from Planar Monotone 3-SAT. This is due to the fact that we need to connect the triangles to a vertex of the instance G of Orthogonal Embeddability. Therefore, we must find a vertex z on G that can lie on the outer face. That is, if G admits an orthogonal drawing, there is an orthogonal drawing of G such that z lies on the outer face. For arbitrary instances, we cannot identify such a vertex easily. For instances created by the reduction from Planar Monotone 3-SAT however, we can exploit the structure to find a suitable vertex.

8 Conclusion

In this paper we considered orthogonal drawings of graphs on cylinders. Our main result is a characterization of the 4-plane graphs that can be drawn bend-free on a cylinder in terms of a combinatorial description of such drawings. These ortho-radial representations determine all angles in the drawing without fixing any lengths, and thus are a natural extension of Tamassia's orthogonal representations. However, compared to those, the proof that every valid ortho-radial representation has a corresponding drawing is significantly more involved. The reason for this is the more global nature of the additional property required to deal with the cyclic dimension of the cylinder.

Our ortho-radial representations establish the existence of an ortho-radial TSM framework in the sense that they are a combinatiorial description of the graph serving as interface between the "Shape" and "Metrics" step.

For rectangular 4-plane graphs, we gave an algorithm producing a drawing from a valid ortho-radial representation. Our proof reducing the drawing of general 4-plane graphs with a valid ortho-radial representation to the case of rectangular 4-plane graphs is constructive; however, it requires checking for the violation of our additional consistency criterion. It is an open question whether this condition can be checked in polynomial time. These algorithms correspond to the "Metrics" step in a TSM framework for ortho-radial drawings.

Since the additional property of the characterization is non-local (it is based on paths through the whole graph), the original flow network by Tamassia cannot be easily adapted to compute the "Shape" step of an ortho-radial TSM framework. We leave this as an open question.

References

[1] Thomas Bläsius, Guido Brückner, and Ignaz Rutter. Complexity of higher-degree orthogonal graph embedding in the Kandinsky model. In Andreas S. Schulz and Dorothea Wagner, editors, *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA'14)*, LNCS, pages 161–172. Springer, 2014.

[2] Thomas Bläsius, Marcus Krug, Ignaz Rutter, and Dorothea Wagner. Orthogonal graph drawing with flexibility constraints. *Algorithmica*, 68(4):859–885, 2014.

[3] Thomas Bläsius, Sebastian Lehmann, and Ignaz Rutter. Orthogonal graph drawing with inflexible edges. *CoRR*, abs/1404.2943, 2014.

[4] Thomas Bläsius, Ignaz Rutter, and Dorothea Wagner. Optimal orthogonal graph drawing with convex bend costs. In *Proceedings of the 40th Int. Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP'13)*, volume 7965 of *LNCS*, pages 184–195. Springer, 2013.

[5] Sabine Cornelsen and Andreas Karrenbauer. Accelerated bend minimization. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 16(3):635–650, 2012.

[6] Mark de Berg and Amirali Khosravi. Optimal binary space partitions for segments in the plane. *Int. Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications*, 22(03):187–205, 2012.

[7] Giuseppe Di Battista, Peter Eades, Roberto Tamassia, and Ioannis G. Tollis. *Graph Drawing - Algorithms for the Visualization of Graphs.* Prentice Hall, 1999.

[8] Giuseppe Di Battista, Giuseppe Liotta, and Francesco Vargiu. Spirality and optimal orthogonal drawings. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 27(6):1764–1811, 1998.

[9] Christian A. Duncan and Michael T. Goodrich. *Handbook of Graph Drawing and Visualization*, chapter Planar Orthogonal and Polyline Drawing Algorithms, pages 223–246. CRC Press, 2013.

[10] Ashim Garg and Roberto Tamassia. On the computational complexity of upward and rectilinear planarity testing. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 31(2):601–625, 2001.

[11] Madieh Hasheminezhad, S. Mehdi Hashemi, Brendan D. McKay, and Maryam Tahmasbi. Rectangular-radial drawings of cubic plane graphs. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 43:767–780, 2010.

[12] Mahdie Hasheminezhad, S. Mehdi Hashemi, and Maryam Tahmabasi. Ortho-radial drawings of graphs. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 44:171–182, 2009.

[13] David Lichtenstein. Planar formulae and their uses. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 11(2):329–343, 1982.

[14] Md. Saidur Rahman, Shin-ichi Nakano, and Takao Nishizeki. Rectangular grid drawings of plane graphs. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 10:203–220, 1998.

[15] Md. Saidur Rahman, Takao Nishizeki, and Mahmuda Naznin. Orthogonal drawings of plane graphs without bends. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 7(3):335–362, 2003.

[16] Roberto Tamassia. On embedding a graph in the grid with the minimum number of bends. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 16(3):421–444, 1987.